



STATE OF UTAH
NATURAL RESOURCES & ENERGY
Oil, Gas & Mining

Scott M. Matheson, Governor
Temple A. Reynolds, Executive Director
Cleon B. Feight, Division Director

4241 State Office Building • Salt Lake City, UT 84114 • 801-533-5771

October 7, 1982

REGISTERED RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED POS 7075322

Mr. Robert Hautala
Vice President
Getty Oil Company
P.O. Box 7900
Salt Lake City, UT 84107

RE: Mercur Canyon Project Modification
ACT/045/017
Tooele County, Utah

Dear Mr. Hautala:

On September 23, 1982 the Board of Oil, Gas and Mining concurred with the Division's decision to issue a conditional tentative approval for the proposed modification to the Mercur Canyon Project. Due to Getty's late submittals of certain items germane to the review and also changes in the original modification submitted to the Division on March 25, 1982, final review of the latest modification was not completed prior to the Board Hearing in September. Rather than hold up the placement of a public notice for the modification, a conditional tentative approval is issued. The following stipulations have been attached to this approval and contingent upon Getty meeting said conditions, and the Division receiving no substantial adverse public comment, a final approval may be issued.

1. The operator must provide maps delineating the extent of current disturbances and anticipated new disturbances. Prior to any additional tailing pond area disturbances, the operator must contact the Division to determine the best soil storage location for the topsoil (growth media) to be generated from this proposed activity.
2. The operator must notify the Division of any stability problems encountered with the topsoil stockpile, should they occur.
3. A map showing all present topsoil stockpile locations and possible future locations must be provided. Permanent (not to be disturbed), active or future notations should be used to identify their status.
4. In the interest of considering the best reclamation technology available upon the cessation of mining, the operator should consult with the Division regarding possible techniques and practices for innoculation of surficial materials to be utilized in revegetation efforts. Consultation is recommended to begin at least six months prior to the cessation of mining activities. In order to preserve the greatest range of options (greatest volume of biologically active surficial material) the operator must attempt where possible, to minimize the depth of topsoil storage (increase the lateral storage area where practical).

Board/Charles R. Henderson, Chairman • John L. Bell • E. Steele McIntyre • Edward T. Beck
Robert R. Norman • Margaret R. Bird • Herm Olsen

an equal opportunity employer • please recycle paper

Mr. Robert Hautala
ACT/045/017
October 6, 1982
Page Two

5. The previously requested map concerning the location of drainages, diversions, culverts, ditches, ponds, etc. must be submitted for Division approval on a scale of 1" equals 200 feet.
6. A yearly updated map should be submitted with the Annual Operations and Progress Report indicating changes in facility locations, soil stockpiles, hydrologic considerations, and roads. It should be at a scale of 1" equals 200 feet.
7. There was no indication on the April 8, 1981 toxicity analysis report submitted to the Division whether any additional analyses differed from it or if any radioactivity was measured. If sampling of this nature has been accomplished the results of analyzing the additional parameters (or sites) must be submitted to the Division.
8. The table which appears in the September 13, 1982 response must balance between the volume of soil committed for reclamation and the actual volume of available soil. A table has been attached to this letter for your use and the sake of uniformity.
9. A detailed reclamation cost estimate for bonding purposes must be submitted to the Division.

Concerning the form of the reclamation surety, Mr. Ron Daniels, Deputy Director of the Division has reviewed the criteria for financial acceptability and according to the most current Division standards has endorsed the reclamation contract form which was accepted by the Board at it's September hearing.

Getty's response to these stiulations must be submitted to the Division within 90 days of receipt of this letter. If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me or Tom Tetting of my staff.

Sincerely,



JAMES W. SMITH, JR.
COORDINATOR OF MINED LAND DEVELOPMENT

JWS/TNT/tck

cc: Tom Munson, DOGM
Tom Portle, DOGM

SOIL TABULATION CHART

Area affected (in mining sequence)	Area	1	2	3	etc
------------------------------------	------	---	---	---	-----

Acreage of Area

Depth of topsoil removal (inches)

Depth of topsoil replacement (inches)*

Estimate of topsoil volume salvaged (yd³)

Volume actually salvaged (yd³)

Volume required for reclamation (yd³)

Surplus or deficit volume (yd³)

Storage status (short or long term)

Storage location

Area where soil used (if not stored)

Running total (all stockpiles)(yd³)

 Short term

 Long term

* of previously stripped area recently reclaimed